STATE AGRICULTURE DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE RESOLUTION #FY2020R9(2)

Memorializing Standards for determining Eligible Farms Pursuant to the County Planning Incentive Grant (PIG) Program

SEPTEMBER 26, 2019

- WHEREAS, pursuant to <u>N.J.A.C</u>. 2:76-1 et seq. (County Planning Incentive Grant Program) the SADC is responsible for establishing the standards for what constitutes an "eligible farm" by annually determining minimum score requirements, of the County Planning Incentive Grant program; and
- WHEREAS, pursuant to N.J.A.C. 2:76-17.2 an "eligible farm" means a targeted farm that qualifies for grant funding by achieving an individual rank score that is equal to or greater than 70 percent of the county's average quality score of all farms granted preliminary approval by the SADC through the county easement purchase program and/or the county planning incentive grant program within the previous three fiscal years, as determined by the SADC; and
- WHEREAS, pursuant to N.J.A.C. 2:76-17.9(a)7, the SADC has implemented waivers in counties that lack a sufficient number of applications during the three prior fiscal years upon which to calculate eligible farm criteria; and
- WHEREAS, six counties (Atlantic, Bergen, Camden, Mercer, Middlesex, and Passaic Counties) have submitted one or fewer applications each receiving preliminary approval (Green Light Approval) during the past three fiscal years, therefore it is not possible to establish an FY19 average quality score for these six counties pursuant to N.J.A.C. 2:76-17.2; and
- WHEREAS, because of the increased processing time and administrative burden associated with processing waivers it is recommended that the SADC utilize the average quality scores adopted at its July 26, 2018 meeting for Mercer and Middlesex Counties (Schedule A); and
- WHEREAS, for applications submitted by Atlantic, Bergen, Camden and Passaic Counties, where no average quality score was available both in July 2018 or July 2019, the SADC will continue to consider these applications under the waiver provision as per N.J.A.C. 2:76-17.9(a)7; and
- WHEREAS, all other Counties have had sufficient activity to calculate an average quality score and minimum eligibility criteria (Schedule A)
- WHEREAS, pursuant to N.J.A.C. 2:76-17.9(a)7, applications from all other Counties for farms that fail to meet the minimum score requirements, the County may request a waiver of the minimum score criterion;
- NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, the SADC adopts 70 percent average quality score values for determining an "eligible farm" pursuant to <u>N.J.A.C</u>. 2:76-17.2 for the County Planning Incentive Grant Program; and
- BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, there is no minimum criteria for applications submitted by Atlantic, Bergen, Camden and Passaic Counties, where no average quality score was available; and

- BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, the minimum score for farms in Mercer and Middlesex Counties is based on the average quality scores adopted last year, based on preliminary approval or Green Light quality scores for County PIG applications in FY '15,'16 and '17; and
- BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, the minimum score for farms in all other participating Counties is based on the average quality scores adopted this year, based on preliminary approval or Green Light quality scores for County PIG applications in FY '17,'18 and '19 (Schedule A); and
- BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the 70 percent of average quality scores for determining an "eligible farm" pursuant to <u>N.J.A.C.</u> 2:76-17.2 shall be effective as of January 1, 2020, and shall apply to an application for the sale of a development easement that is received by the SADC pursuant to <u>N.J.A.C.</u> 2:76-17.9 prior to December 31, 2020; and
- BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that this approval is considered a final agency decision appealable to the Appellate Division of the Superior Court of New Jersey; and
- BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, this action is not effective until the Governor's review period expires pursuant to N.J.S.A. 4:1C-4f.

_9/26/19___ Date Sucan E. Payno, Evocutivo Director

Susan E. Payne, Executive Director State Agriculture Development Committee

VOTE WAS RECORDED AS FOLLOWS

Douglas H. Fisher, Chairperson	YES
Renee Jones (rep. DEP Commissioner McCabe)	YES
Gina Fischetti (rep. DCA Commissioner Oliver)	YES
Ralph Siegel (rep. State Treasurer Muoio)	YES
Jane Brodhecker	YES
Alan Danser, Vice Chairman	YES
Scott Ellis	YES
Denis C. Germano, Esq.	YES
Peter Johnson	YES
Brian Schilling (rep. Executive Dean Goodman)	YES
James Waltman	ABSENT

SADC County PIG "Eligible" Farm Standard Effective 1.01.20 - 12.31.20

SADC Minimu	m Standard	ls for "Fligib	ole" Farm						T			Se	eptember 26, 2
26-Sep-19	The state of the s	is for English											
	2009 Average	FY 2010 Average	FY2011 Average	FY2012 Average	FY2013 Average	FY2014 Average	FY2015 Average	FY2016 Average	FY2017 Average	FY2018 Average	*FY2019 Average	FY2020 Average	70% of Average
	Quality	Quality	Quality	Quality	Quality	Quality	Quality	Quality	Quality	Quality	Quality	Quality	Quality
	Score	Score	Score	Score	Score	Score	Score	Score	Score	Score	Score	Score	Score
Atlantic	47.61	47.94	52.61	****	64.91	59.9	59.9	52.08	****	****	****	****	****
Bergen	35.91	20.57	****	****	*****	43.4	43.4	43.40	****	****	***	****	****
Burlington	64.12	63.49	65.06	64.91	64.54	68.2	68.94	69.37	69.74	68.99	69.41	68.5	47
Camden		****	****	****	*****	58.64	58.64	58.64	****	****	****	****	****
Cape May	51.6	51.32	54.69	45.77	49.35	49.89	56.36	56.59	57.98	59.19	55.91	59.89	41
Cumberland	60.56	60.83	61.55	59.53	58.97	61.98	64.69	64.20	63.81	63.23	64.08	60.93	42
Gloucester	53.22	58.11	59.69	62.14	62.65	67.38	67.6	66.48	61.33	60.88	61.25	65.29	45
lunterdon	57.6	61.26	62.64	65.52	64.97	64.25	63.36	61.70	68.55	67.35	64.87	64.85	45
1ercer	70.66	72.05	77.19	72.42	68.52	70.69	71.52	72.64	68.69	71.58	70.48	70.48	49
Middlesex	53.25	58.02	59.49	63.03	57.98	62.06	56.81	60.17	60.17	64.29	***	64.29	45
/lonmouth	69.01	69.56	71.75	68.95	70	73.47	76.65	***	78.46	77.72	74.81	70.83	49
/lorris	63.55	61.45	60.01	60.42	60.65	60.5	62	68.40	66.72	66.04	62.48	63.24	44
Ocean	46.85	48.58	56.69	61.39	71.17	71.17	****	67.20	59.06	61.65	62.14	65.89	46
assaic		****	****	****	34.11	34.11	34.11	54.37	54.37	54.37	****	***	****
Salem	68.61	71.13	69.77	69.77	65.86	66.26	67.65	69.93	68.54	68.64	69.33	70.19	49
omerset	67.31	66.98	66.93	67.09	67	60.58	56.43	58.61	65.01	68.12	****	73.7	51
ussex	50.39	48.2	51.24	57.02	54.9	53.66	54.6	54.74	56.30	58.37	57.77	46.91	32
Varren	55.52	56.66	57.51	61.57	60.99	59.89	63.17	62.70	63.40	62.94	66.19	64.15	44
Based on pre N.J.A.C. 2:76 These standa * all number	liminary ap -17.9 (a) an ords are effo s are round	oproval or 0 d 17.2 (Cou ective Janu led down to	Green Ligh unty Planni uary 1, 2020 o the neare	t quality so ing Incention through I est whole n	cores for Cove Grant Property Communication	ounty Plan rogram] 3 <mark>1, 202(</mark>			cal Years '17,' cal Years '15,'				
N.J.A.C. 2:76													
*** Independ	ent review	and appro	val by SAD	C required	to receive	Green Lig	ht Approva			į			